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“Just because you're paranoid doesn't mean they aren't after you.”

― Joseph Heller, Catch-22
THE PROBLEMS
CONTAINERS DON’T CONTAIN

Dan Walsh (my shirt is dedicated to you)

Move the kernel around or move the user space around

- Fancy processes
- Breaking the OS in two pieces
- All containers share a kernel
- Root only exploits can be ba’a’a’ad
CONTAINER IMAGES
Currency for collaboration

Developers, operations, middleware, performance, and security specialists all have a role to play

- Fancy files
- Who controls what?
- Who is responsible for what?
- What about bad content?
Hard Work

1. Code: mysqld
2. Configuration: /etc/my.cnf
3. Data: /var/lib/mysql
4. Other stuff :-)
NEW CONCEPTS
CIA

Not them, but yeah, they might be after you too....

CONFIDENTIALITY
Has data leaked from the container platform?

INTEGRITY
Has somebody tampered with the container?

AVAILABILITY
Is the container up and running?
Integrity
Defense in Depth

the practice of arranging defensive lines or fortifications so that they can defend each other, especially in case of an enemy incursion.

Can we harden each layer?

- Image scanning, signing, and blueprinting
- Container host hardening
- Platform delegation practices
The Tenancy Scale

- Process
- Container
- Virtual Server
- Physical Server
- Rack
- Data Center
Security Controls

SELinux
Who you can talk to. Which objects in the kernel can communicate with other objects.

SECCOMP
What you can say. Limiting system calls is like limiting what words can be said.
NEW TECHNICAL CONTROLS
CONTAINER IMAGES

Our current operating model controls:

- Trusted Content (What’s in the container matters. Don’t install from hackme.com.)
- Content Provenance (Track who changed what.)
- Security Scans
- Remediation/Patching
- Bill of Materials
- CVE Databases
- Security Response Teams
- Limit Root Access (Don’t oversell User Namespaces.)
- Limit User Access (Who controls content.)

Containers add the ability to easily apply techniques such as:

- Bill of Materials
- Signing
- Read-only Containers (Read-only servers were popular in the late 90s.)
- Podman diff to see what changed in a container
Many of these techniques, we apply today.

- Kernel Quality
- Capabilities
- Read Only Images
- Limiting ssh access (root access and users)
- Well understood/controlled configuration (cloud-init, Ansible)
- Tenancy

Since containers are just fancy processes with a well-controlled user space, it’s easier to apply techniques like:

- **SECCOMP**
- **sVirt**
- Hardening: NO_NEW_PRIVS, Read Only Images, –cap-drop=ALL, –user=user
CONTAINER PLATFORM

This layer exists in the world of physical and virtual servers but is typically an administrator only tool, such as vCenter or HPSA. In the world of containers, it's much more common to delegate some access to developers, architects, and application owners.

- Role-Based Authorization
- Authentication (LDAP, network level access/restriction to the platform)
- Environment Isolation (development, testing, production)
- User Demarcation (`kubectl exec`)
- Network Separation
- Key Management
## STANDARD WEB APPLICATION

Many security controls are inconvenient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Network firewall (possibly layer 7)</td>
<td>● Tripwire, SELinux, SECCOMP usually disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Host based firewall</td>
<td>● Mutable user space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Kernel quality</td>
<td>● No temporal understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CVE database</td>
<td>● No spatial understanding (code, configuration, data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Well understood tenancy</td>
<td>● No platform delegation granularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Understood remediation/patching</td>
<td>● Not patched often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Security scanning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CONTAINERIZED WEB APPLICATION

Many security controls are essentially free

Benefits

- All tools from standard web application
- Read only containers
- Signing
- Platform delegation
- Spatial and temporal understanding of containers and application
- Updates practiced more

Limitations

- Tenancy not well understood
- Shared kernel
- Applications hard to break up into code/configuration/data
- More infrastructure (platform and management)
- Need better understanding of applications
Questions?
 Architecting Containers Series: [http://red.ht/2aXfVfF](http://red.ht/2aXfVfF)
 A Practical Introduction to Docker Terminology: [http://red.ht/2beXHDD](http://red.ht/2beXHDD)
Containers for the Enterprise

- Deliver Apps Faster
- Deploy & Manage at Scale
- Comprehensive Security
- Unified Environment
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Load Applications at the Factory, not the Dock